arXiv:1402.6247v1 [math.FA] 25 Feb 2014

ALMOST OVERCOMPLETE AND ALMOST OVERTOTAL SEQUENCES IN BANACH SPACES

Vladimir P. Fonf and Clemente Zanco

Abstract

The new concepts are introduced of almost overcomplete sequence in a Banach space and almost overtotal sequence in a dual space. We prove that any of such sequences is relatively norm-compact and we obtain several applications of this fact.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46B20, 46B50; Secondary 46B45

Key words and phrases: overcomplete sequence, overtotal sequence.

[0]Research of the first author was supported in part by Israel Science Foundation, Grant # 209/09 and by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) of Italy.

[0]Research of the second author was supported in part by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) of Italy and in part by the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel.

1 Introduction

Recall that a sequence in a Banach space X is said overcomplete in X whenever the linear span of any its subsequence is dense in X. It is a well-known fact that overcomplete sequences exist in any separable Banach space. In the spirit of this notion, we introduce the new notion of *overtotal sequence* and weaken both these notions to that ones of *almost overcomplete sequence* and *almost overtotal sequence*.

The main goal of this paper is to prove that any bounded almost overcomplete sequence as well as any bounded almost overtotal sequence is relatively norm-compact (section 2). We feel that these facts provide useful tools for attacking many questions: in section 3 several applications are presented to support this feeling.

Throughout the paper we use standard Geometry of Banach Spaces terminology and notation as in [JL]. In particular, $[S]$ stands for the closure of the linear span of the set S and by "subspace" we always mean "closed subspace".

Let us start by giving our three new definitions.

Definition 1.1 *Let X be a Banach space. A sequence in the dual space X^* is said to be overtotal on X whenever any its subsequence is total over X.*

If X admits a total sequence $\{x_n^*\} \subset X^*$, then there is an overtotal sequence on X. Indeed, put $Y = [\{x_n^*\}]$: Y is a separable Banach space, so it has an overcomplete sequence $\{y_n^*\}$. It is easy to see that $\{y_n^*\}$ is overtotal on X.

As an easy example of an overtotal sequence, consider $X = A(D)$, where $A(D)$ is the usual Banach disk algebra whose elements are the holomorphic functions on the open unit disk D of the plane that admit continuous extension to ∂D, and $\{x_n^*\} = \{z_n|_{A(D)}\}$ where $\{z_n\}$ is any sequence of points of D converging inside D.

Definition 1.2 *A sequence in a Banach space X is said to be almost overcomplete whenever the closed linear span of any its subsequence has finite codimension in X.*

Definition 1.3 *Let X be a Banach space. A sequence in the dual space X^* is said to be almost overtotal on X whenever the annihilator (in X) of any its subsequence has finite dimension.*

Clearly, any overcomplete $<$ overtotal $>$ sequence is almost overcomplete $<$ almost overtotal $>$ and the converse is not true. It is easy to see that, if $\{(x_n, x_n^*)\}$ is a countable biorthogonal system, then neither $\{x_n\}$ can be almost overcomplete in $[\{x_n\}]$, nor $\{x_n^*\}$ can be almost overtotal on $[\{x_n\}]$. In particular, any almost overcomplete sequence has no basic subsequence.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 *Each almost overcomplete bounded sequence in a Banach space is relatively norm-compact.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be an almost overcomplete bounded sequence in a (separable) Banach space $(X, ||\cdot||)$. Without loss of generality we may assume, possibly passing to an equivalent norm, that the norm $||\cdot||$ is locally uniformly rotund (LUR) and that $\{x_n\}$ is normalized under that norm.

First note that $\{x_n\}$ is relatively weakly compact: otherwise, it is known (see for instance Theorem 1.3 (i) in [Si2]) that it should admit some subsequence that is a basic sequence, a contradiction. Hence, by the Eberlein-Šmulyan theorem, $\{x_n\}$ admits some subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ that weakly converges to some point $x_0 \in B_X$. Two cases must now be considered.

1) $||x_0|| < 1$. From $||x_{n_k} - x_0|| \geq 1 - ||x_0|| > 0$, according to a well known result, it follows that some subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_i}} - x_0\}$ is a basic sequence: hence $\operatorname{codim}[\{x_{n_{k_{2i}}} - x_0\}] = \operatorname{codim}[\{x_{n_{k_{2i}}}\}, x_0] = \operatorname{codim}[\{x_{n_{k_{2i}}}\}] = \infty$, a contradiction.

2) $||x_0|| = 1$. Since we are working with a LUR norm, the subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ actually converges to x_0 in the norm too and we are done. ■

Remark. For overcomplete bounded sequences in reflexive spaces this theorem has been already proved in [CFP].

As a first immediate consequence we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let X be a Banach space and $\{x_n\} \subset B_X$ be a sequence that is not relatively norm-compact. Then there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace Y of X^* such that $|\{x_n\} \cap Y^\top| = \infty$. For instance this is true for any δ-separated sequence $\{x_n\} \subset B_X$ $(\delta > 0)$.*

Theorem 2.3 *Le X be a separable Banach space. Any bounded sequence that is almost overtotal on X is relatively norm-compact.*

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X^*$ be a bounded sequence almost overtotal on X. Without loss of generality, like in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we may assume $\{f_n\} \subset S_{X^*}$. Let $\{f_{n_k}\}$ be any subsequence of $\{f_n\}$: since X is separable, without loss of generality we may assume that $\{f_{n_k}\}$ weakly converges, say to f_0.

Let Z be a separable subspace of X^* that is 1-norming for X. Put $Y = [\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, Z]$. Clearly X isometrically embeds into Y^* (we isometrically embed X into X^{**} in the usual

way) and X is 1-norming for Y. By Lemma 16.3 in [Si1] there is an equivalent norm $|||\cdot|||$ on Y such that, for any sequence $\{h_k\}$ and h_0 in Y,

$$h_k(x) \to h_0(x) \ \ \forall x \in X \quad \text{implies} \quad |||h_0||| \leq \liminf |||h_k||| \tag{1}$$

and, in addition,

$$|||h_k||| \to |||h_0||| \quad \text{implies} \quad |||h_k - h_0||| \to 0. \tag{2}$$

Take such an equivalent norm on Y and put $h_k = f_{n_k}$ and $h_0 = f_0$. By (2), we are done if we prove that $|||h_k||| \to |||h_0|||$. Suppose to the contrary that

$$|||f_{n_k}||| \not\to |||f_0|||. \tag{3}$$

From (1) it follows that there are $\{n_{k_i}\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $|||f_{n_{k_i}}||| - |||f_0||| > \delta$, that forces $|||f_{n_{k_i}} - f_0||| > \delta$ for i big enough. By [JR], Theorem III.1, it follows that some subsequence $\{f_{n_{k_{i_m}}} - f_0\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a w^*-basic sequence (remember that $Y \subset X^*$, X is separable and $|||\cdot||||$ is equivalent to the original norm on Y). For $m = 1, 2, ...$ put $g_m = f_{n_{k_{i_m}}}$. Since $\{g_m - f_0\}$ is a w^*-basic sequence, it follows that for some sequence $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in X

$$\{(g_m - f_0, x_m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{is a biorthogonal sequence.} \tag{4}$$

Only two cases must be now considered.

1) For some sequence $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ we have $f_0(x_{m_j}) = 0$, $j = 1, 2, ...$: in this case $\{(g_{m_j}, x_{m_j})\}$ would be a biorthogonal system, contradicting the fact that $\{g_{m_j}\}$ is almost overtotal on X.

2) There exists q such that for any $m \geq q$ we have $f_0(x_m) \neq 0$. For any $j > q$, from (4) it follows

$$0 = (g_{3j} - f_0)(f_0(x_{3j-1}) \cdot x_{3j-2} - f_0(x_{3j-2}) \cdot x_{3j-1}) = g_{3j}(f_0(x_{3j-1}) \cdot x_{3j-2} - f_0(x_{3j-2}) \cdot x_{3j-1}).$$

It follows that the almost overtotal sequence $\{g_{3j}\}_{j=q}^{\infty}$ annihilates the subspace $W = [\{f_0(x_{3j-1}) \cdot x_{3j-2} - f_0(x_{3j-2}) \cdot x_{3j-1}\}_{j=q}^{\infty}] \subset X$: being $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ a linearly independent sequence, W is infinite-dimensional, a contradiction.

Hence (3) does not work and we are done. ■

As an immediate consequence we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and $\{f_n\} \subset B_{X^*}$ be a sequence that is not relatively norm-compact. Then there is an infinite-dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$ such that $|\{f_n\} \cap Y^\perp| = \infty$. For instance this is true for any δ-separated sequence $\{f_n\} \subset B_{X^*}$ $(\delta > 0)$.*

3 Applications

The following theorem easily follows from Corollary 2.4.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $X \subset C(K)$ be an infinite-dimensional subspace of $C(K)$ where K is metric compact. Assume that, for $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset K$, the sequence $\{t_n|_X\} \subset X^*$ is not relatively norm-compact. Then there are an infinite-dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$ and a subsequence $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $y(t_{n_k}) = 0$ for any $y \in Y$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Remark. Sequences $\{t_n\} \subset K$ as required in the statement of Theorem 3.1 always exist: trivially, for any sequence $\{t_n\}$ dense in K, the sequence $\{t_n|_X\}$, being a 1-norming sequence for X, cannot be relatively norm-compact (since X is infinite-dimensional).

In [EGS] the Authors proved that, for any infinite-dimensional subspace $X \subset C(K)$, there are an infinite-dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$ and a sequence $\{t_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset K$ such that $y(t_k) = 0$ for any $y \in Y$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 3.1 strengthens this result. In fact actually, for any infinite-dimensional subspace $X \subset C(K)$, we can find such a sequence $\{t_k\}$ as a suitable subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}$ of any prescribed sequence $\{w_n\} \subset K$ for which $\{w_n|_X\} \subset X^*$ is not relatively norm-compact.

In 2003 R. Aron and V. Gurariy asked: does there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace of l_∞ every non-zero element of which has only finitely many zero-coordinates? Let us reformulate this question in the following equivalent way: *does there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace $Y \subset l_\infty$ such that the sequence $\{e_n|_Y\}$ of the "coordinate functionals" is overtotal on Y?*

Since the sequence $\{e_n|_Y\}$ is norming for Y, it is not norm-compact (Y is infinite-dimensional), hence by Theorem 2.3 it cannot be overtotal on Y. So the answer to the Aron-Gurariy's question is negative. Actually we can say much more. In fact, from Theorem 2.3 it follows that there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace $Z \subset Y$ and a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of integers such that $\{e_{n_k}(z) = 0\}$ for every $z \in Z$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that the Aron-Gurariy's question was answered via a different argument in [CS].

The next Theorem generalizes the previous argument.

Theorem 3.2 *Let X be a separable infinite-dimensional Banach space and $T : X \to l_\infty$ be a one-to-one bounded non compact linear operator. Then there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$ and a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of integers such that $e_{n_k}(Ty) = 0$ for any $y \in Y$ and for any k (e_n the "n-coordinate functional" on l_∞).*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that for any sequence of integers $\{n_k\}$ we have $\dim(\{T^*e_{n_k}\}^\top) < \infty$. Then the sequence $\{T^*(e_n)\} \subset X^*$ is almost overtotal on X, so $K = ||\cdot|| - \mathrm{cl}\{T^*e_n\}$ is norm-compact in X^* by Theorem 2.3. Clearly we can consider B_X as a subset of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ (by putting, for $x \in B_X$ and $t \in K$, $x(t) = t(x)$). We claim that B_X is relatively norm-compact in $\mathcal{C}(K)$. In fact, B_X is clearly bounded in $\mathcal{C}(K)$ and its elements are equi-continuous since, for $t_1, t_2 \in K$ and $x \in B_X$, we have

$$|x(t_1) - x(t_2)| \leq ||x|| \cdot ||t_1 - t_2|| \leq ||t_1 - t_2|| :$$

we are done by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem. Since, for $x \in X$ we have $||x||_{\mathcal{C}(K)} = ||Tx||_{l_\infty}$, $T(B_X)$ is relatively norm-compact in l_∞ too. This leads to a contradiction since we assumed that T is not a compact operator. ■

Let now X be an infinite-dimensional space and $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$ a norming sequence for X. By Theorem 2.3, the fact that $\{f_n\}$ is not relatively norm-compact immediately forces $\{f_n\}$ not to be overtotal on X. Since any norming sequence is a total sequence, it follows that any norming sequence for any infinite-dimensional space X admits some subsequence that is not a norming sequence for X. In other words and following our terminology, "overnorming" sequences do not exist.

As one more application of Theorem 2.3 we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.3 *Let X, Y be infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, Y having an unconditional basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ with $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ as the sequence of the associated coordinate functionals. Let $T : X \to Y$ be a one-to-one bounded non compact linear operator. Then there exist an infinite-dimensional subspace $Z \subset X$ and a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_l\}$ of integers such that $e_{k_l}(Tz) = 0$ for any $z \in Z$ and any $l \in \mathbb{N}$.*

To prove Theorem 3.3 we need some preparation. First note that, without loss of generality, from now on we may assume that T has norm one and that the unconditional basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is normalized and unconditionally monotone (i.e., if $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i u_i$ and $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $||\sum_{i\in\sigma} \alpha_i\beta_i u_i|| \leq ||x||$ for any choice of β_i with $|\beta_i| \leq 1$; see for instance [Si1], Theorem 17.1).

In the proof of Theorem 3.3 we will use the following two technical Lemmas.

Lemma 3.4 *Let X, Y and T be as in the statement of Theorem 3.3. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any natural integer m, some point $z \in B_X$ exists (depending on m) such that $||Tz|| \geq \delta$ and the first m coordinates of Tz are 0.*

Proof. Let us start by proving that, keeping notation as in the statement of Theorem 3.3,

$$\exists \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset B_X,\ \exists\, 0 < \beta < 1 : e_i(Tx_k) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \wedge ||Tx_k|| > \beta\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

In fact, let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any $r-$separated sequence in $T(B_X)$ for some $r > 0$ ($T(B_X)$ is not pre-compact). By a standard diagonal procedure we can select a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}$ such that, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the numbers $e_i(z_{n_k})$ converge as $k \to \infty$. Of course, for any i we have $e_i(z_{n_k} - z_{n_{k+1}}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ with $||z_{n_k} - z_{n_{k+1}}|| \geq r$. For each k, put $2y_k = z_{n_{2k}} - z_{n_{2k+1}}$: since $T(B_X)$ is both convex and symmetric with respect to the origin, it is clear that $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset T(B_X)$ too; moreover for any k we have $||y_k|| > r/2$ and for any i we have $e_i(y_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. So it is enough to assume $x_k = T^{-1}y_k$ for any k and $\beta = r/2$ and (5) is proved.

Now fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $L = [\{T^*e_n\}_{n=1}^m]^\top$ and let $x \in X$. Then, denoting by $q : X \to X/L$ the quotient map, for some positive constant C_m independent on x it is true that

$$\mathrm{dist}(x, L) = ||q(x)|| = \mathrm{Sup}\{|f(q(x))| : f \in S_{(X/L)^*}\} =$$

$$= \mathrm{Sup}\{|g(x)| : g \in S_{[\{T^*e_n\}_{n=1}^m]}\} \leq C_m \mathrm{Max}\{|e_n(Tx)| : 1 \leq n \leq m\}. \quad (6)$$

Take $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ as in (5): some $\tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ exists such that

$$C_m \mathrm{Max}\{|e_n(Tx_{\tilde{k}})| : 1 \leq n \leq m\} < \beta/2$$

that by (6) implies

$$\mathrm{dist}(x_{\tilde{k}}, L) < \beta/2.$$

Let $2z \in L$ be such that $||x_{\tilde{k}} - 2z|| < \beta/2$: clearly $||z|| < 1$ and $||Tz|| > (||Tx_{\tilde{k}}|| - \beta/2)/2$, so, since $||Tx_{\tilde{k}}|| > \beta$, we are done by assuming $\delta = \beta/4$. ■

Lemma 3.5 *Let Y be as in the statement of Theorem 3.3. Then for any*

$$n \in \mathbb{N},\ \ 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2,\ \ v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i u_i,\ \ w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i u_i \ \textit{with}\ \ ||v|| < \varepsilon^2 \ \textit{and}\ \ ||w|| > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad (7)$$

there exists $j,\ 1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $|v_j| < \varepsilon |w_j|$.

Proof. Recall that, under our assumptions, basis $\{u_i\}$ is unconditionally monotone. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $w_i \neq 0, i = 1, ..., n$. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any scalars $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ and $|\beta_1|, ..., |\beta_n| \leq 1$, the following is true

$$||\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \alpha_i u_i|| \leq ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i u_i||. \tag{8}$$

Assume to the contrary that some v, w exist satisfying (7) for some ε, $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, for which $|v_i| \geq \varepsilon |w_i|$ (i.e. $\varepsilon |w_i/v_i| \leq 1$) for every i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By putting in (8) $\alpha_i = v_i/\varepsilon$ and $\beta_i = \varepsilon w_i/v_i$ for any i, we get

$$1 - \varepsilon < ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i u_i|| \leq ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i u_i/\varepsilon|| < \epsilon$$

that gives $\varepsilon > 1/2$, a contradiction. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.3.

By Lemma 3.4, a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $||x_n|| < R$ for some $R > 0$, can be found in X such that $Tx_n \in S_Y$ for every n and $e_j(Tx_n) = 0$, $j = 1, ..., n$. For any n put

$$Tx_n = y_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} y_n^i u_i.$$

Now we are going to construct a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with special properties.

Put in short $1/2^{n+1} = \varepsilon_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Put $n_0 = 1$ and let $p_0 > n_0$ be such that $y_{n_0}^{p_0} \neq 0$.

Take $n_1 \geq p_0$ such that

$$||\sum_{n_1+1}^{\infty} y_{n_0}^i u_i|| < \varepsilon_1^2.$$

Let $n_2 > n_1$ such that (remember that our basis is unconditionally monotone)

$$||\sum_{n_2+1}^{\infty} |y_{n_0}^i| u_i|| + ||\sum_{n_2+1}^{\infty} |y_{n_1}^i| u_i|| < \varepsilon_2^2$$

and consider the two vectors

$$v_1 = \sum_{n_1+1}^{n_2} y_{n_0}^i u_i, \qquad w_1 = \sum_{n_1+1}^{n_2} y_{n_1}^i u_i :$$

clearly we have $||v_1|| < \varepsilon_1^2$ and $||w_1|| > 1 - \varepsilon_2^2 > 1 - \varepsilon_1$, hence by Lemma 3.5 an integer $p_1,\ n_1 + 1 \le p_1 \le n_2$, can be found such that

$$\frac{|y_{n_0}^{p_1}|}{|y_{n_1}^{p_1}|} < \varepsilon_1.$$

Now take $n_3 > n_2$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} \sum_{n_3+1}^{\infty} || \, |y_{n_j}^i| u_i|| < \varepsilon_3^2$$

and consider the two vectors

$$v_2 = \sum_{n_2+1}^{n_3} (|y_{n_0}^i| + |y_{n_1}^i|) u_i, \qquad w_2 = \sum_{n_2+1}^{n_3} y_{n_2}^i u_i :$$

clearly we have $||v_2|| < \varepsilon_2^2$ and $||w_2|| > 1 - \varepsilon_3^2 > 1 - \varepsilon_2$, hence by Lemma 3.5 an integer $p_2,\ n_2 + 1 \le p_1 \le n_3$, can be found such that

$$\frac{|y_{n_0}^{p_2}| + |y_{n_1}^{p_2}|}{|y_{n_2}^{p_2}|} < \varepsilon_2.$$

It is now clear how to iterate the process, so getting a sequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ in $S_{T(X)}$, a corresponding subsequence $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ being determined such that for $k \ge 0$

$$n_k + 1 \le p_k \le n_{k+1} \quad \wedge \quad \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |y_{n_j}^{p_k}|}{|y_{n_k}^{p_k}|} < \varepsilon_k. \tag{9}$$

Put

$$E = [\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}], \quad W = T^{-1}(E), \quad \tilde{e}_k = e_{p_k}/y_{n_k}^{p_k},\ k = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$$

Clearly we have

$$\tilde{e}_k(y_{n_i}) = 0 \quad \text{if } k < i, \qquad \tilde{e}_k(y_{n_k}) = 1, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots . \tag{10}$$

Note that, by our construction, $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a sufficiently small perturbation of a block basis of the basis $\{u_i\}$. Hence it is an unconditional basis for E. Let B its basis constant.

We claim that $\{T^* \tilde{e}_k|_W\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset W^*$ is a bounded sequence. Clearly it is enough to prove that $\{\tilde{e}_k|_E\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. In fact, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i y_{n_i} \in S_E$, taking into account (10) and (9) we have

$$|\tilde{e}_k(y)| = |\tilde{e}_k(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i y_{n_i})| = |\tilde{e}_k(\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i y_{n_i})| \le \sum_{i=0}^{k} |a_i| |\tilde{e}_k(y_{n_i})| \le 2B(\varepsilon_k + 1) < 4B.$$

Moreover we claim that it is a $1/R$-separated sequence. In fact for any k, m with $k > m \geq 0$, again remembering (10), we have

$$||T^*\tilde{e}_k - T^*\tilde{e}_m|| \geq |(T^*\tilde{e}_k)(x_{n_k}/R) - (T^*\tilde{e}_m)(x_{n_K}/R)| =$$

$$= (1/R)|(\tilde{e}_k)(y_{n_k}) - (\tilde{e}_m)(y_{n_k})| = 1/R.$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.3, the sequence $\{T^*\tilde{e}_k|_W\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ cannot be almost overtotal on W: it means that there is an infinite-dimensional subspace $Z \subset W$ that annihilates some subsequence of the sequence $\{T^*\tilde{e}_k\}$.

The proof is complete. ■

Remark. The particular case of Theorem 3.3 when $X \subset l_p$, $Y = l_p$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$, $T = \mathrm{Id}_{|X}$ was proved in [CS].

References

[CS] D. Cariello and J.B. Seoane-Sepulveda: *Basic sequences and spaceability in l_p spaces*, to appear in J. Funct. Anal..

[CFP] I. Chalendar, E. Fricain, and J.R. Partington: *Overcompleteness of sequences of reproducing kernels in model spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **56** (1) (2006), 45–56.

[EGS] P.H. Enflo, V.I. Gurariy, and J.B. Seoane-Sepulveda: *Some results and open questions on spacebility in function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2) (2014), 611–625.

[JL] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss: *Basic Concepts in the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces vol. 1, edited by W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, Elsevier Science B.V. (2001), 1–84.

[JR] W. B. Johnson and H.Rosenthal: *On w^*-basic sequences and their applications to the study of Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **43** (1972), 77–92.

[Si1] I. Singer: *Bases in Banach spaces I*, Springer Verlag (1970).

[Si2] I. Singer: *Bases in Banach spaces II*, Springer Verlag (1981).

Vladimir P. Fonf
Department of Mathematics
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
84105 Beer-Sheva, Israel
E-mail address: fonf@math.bgu.ac.il

Clemente Zanco
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi
Via C. Saldini, 50
20133 Milano MI, Italy
E-mail address: clemente.zanco@unimi.it
ph. ++39 02 503 16164 fax ++39 02 503 16090